arXiv:0707.3276v5 [math.NT] 6 Sep 2008

FUNCTIONAL EQUATION FOR THETA SERIES

JAE-HYUN YANG

Abstract. In this short paper, we find the transformation formula for the theta series under the action of the Jacobi modular group on the Siegel-Jacobi space. This formula generalizes the formula (5.1) obtained by Mumford in [3, p. 189].

1. **Introduction**

For a given fixed positive integer g, we let

$$\mathbb{H}_g = \{\, \Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{(g,g)} \mid \Omega = {}^t\Omega, \quad \operatorname{Im}\Omega > 0 \,\}$$

be the Siegel upper half plane of degree g and let

$$\Gamma_g = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{(2g,2g)} \mid {}^t\gamma J_g \gamma = J_g \;\}$$

be the Siegel modular group of degree g, where $F^{(k,l)}$ denotes the set of all $k \times l$ matrices with entries in a commutative ring F for two positive integers k and l, ${}^tM$ denotes the transpose matrix of a matrix M, $\operatorname{Im}\Omega$ denotes the imaginary part of Ω and

$$J_g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For two positive integers g and m, we consider the Heisenberg group

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(g,m)} := \{\, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \mid \lambda,\, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)},\ \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,m)},\ \kappa + \mu\, {}^t\lambda \ \textit{symmetric} \,\}$$

endowed with the following multiplication law

$$(\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \circ (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa') := (\lambda + \lambda', \mu + \mu'; \kappa + \kappa' + \lambda\, {}^t\mu' - \mu\, {}^t\lambda').$$

We let

$$\Gamma_{g,m} := \Gamma_g \ltimes H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(g,m)} \quad \text{(semi-direct proudct)}$$

be the Jacobi modular group endowed with the following multiplication law

$$(\gamma, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)) \cdot (\gamma', (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa')) = \big(\gamma\gamma', (\widetilde{\lambda} + \lambda', \widetilde{\mu} + \mu'; \kappa + \kappa' + \widetilde{\lambda}\, {}^t\mu' - \widetilde{\mu}\, {}^t\lambda')\big)$$

with $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_g,\ \lambda, \lambda', \mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)},\ \kappa,\, \kappa' \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,m)}$ and $(\widetilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{\mu}) = (\lambda, \mu)\gamma'$. Then $\Gamma_{g,m}$ acts on the Siegel-Jacobi space $\mathbb{H}_{g,m} := \mathbb{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,g)}$ properly discontinuously by

$$(1.1) \qquad (\gamma, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)) \cdot (\Omega, Z) = \big((A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}, (Z + \lambda\Omega + \mu)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}\big),$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g,\ \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)},\ \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,m)}$ and $(\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{g,m}$ (cf. [8], [9], [11], [12]). A fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{g,m}\backslash\mathbb{H}_{g,m}$ was found by the author in [10]. Let $\Gamma_{\vartheta,g}$ be the theta

---

Subject Classification: Primary 11F27, 11F37, 11F50

Keywords and phrases: theta series, modular forms of half integral weight, Jacobi forms .

group consisting of all element $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g$ such that the diagonal entries of matrices ${}^tAC$ and ${}^tBD$ are *even* integers. We set

$$\Gamma_{\vartheta,g,m} := \Gamma_{\vartheta,g} \ltimes H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(g,m)}.$$

We consider the theta series

$$\Theta(\Omega, Z) := \sum_{A \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\, \sigma(A\Omega\, {}^tA + 2A\, {}^tZ)}, \quad (\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{g,m}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $\sigma(T)$ denotes the trace of a square matrix T.

In [3, p. 189], Mumford considered the case $m = 1$ and proved the following functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta\big((A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}, Z(C\Omega + D)^{-1}\big) \\ = \;& \zeta(\gamma)\, e^{\pi i \{ Z(C\Omega+D)^{-1}C\, {}^tZ\}} \det(C\Omega + D)^{1/2}\, \Theta(\Omega, Z), \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{\vartheta,g}$ and $\zeta(\gamma)$ is an eighth root of 1. In this short article, we consider the case of an arbitrary positive integer m and then prove the following functional equation.

Theorem 1.1. *For any* $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)) \in \Gamma_{\vartheta,g,m}$ *with* $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{\vartheta,g}$, *we obtain the following functional equation*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta\big((A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}, (Z + \lambda\Omega + \mu)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}\big) \\ = \;& \zeta(\tilde{\gamma})\, e^{\pi i\, \sigma\{ (Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu)(C\Omega+D)^{-1}C\, {}^t(Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu) - \lambda\Omega\, {}^t\lambda - 2\lambda\, {}^tZ\}} \det(C\Omega + D)^{\frac{m}{2}}\, \Theta(\Omega, Z), \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where $\zeta(\tilde{\gamma})$ *is an eighth root of* 1.

We observe that the formula (1.4) generalizes the formula (1.3) with $m = 1$ and $\lambda = \mu = 0$. For a positive integer N, we put

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \,\Big|\, c \equiv 0 \pmod N \right\}$$

and

$$\theta(\tau) = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i\, r^2 \tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{H}_1.$$

In [2, (Werke) pp. 939–940], Hecke showed that

$$\theta\big((a\tau + b)(c\tau + d)^{-1}\big) = \epsilon_d^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{d}\right) (c\tau + d)^{1/2}\, \theta(\tau), \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\epsilon_d = 1$ or i according to $d \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod 4$ and $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ denotes the quadratic residue symbol (cf. [6, p. 442]).

Notations : We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the ring of integers, and the field of complex numbers respectively. $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ denotes the multiplicative group of nonzero complex numbers. The symbol ":=" means that the expression on the right is the definition of that on the left. For two positive integers k and l, $F^{(k,l)}$ denotes the set of all $k \times l$ matrices with entries in a commutative ring F. For a square matrix $A \in F^{(k,k)}$ of degree k, $\sigma(A)$ denotes the trace of A. For any $M \in F^{(k,l)}$, ${}^tM$ denotes the transpose matrix of M. I_n denotes the identity matrix of degree n. We put $i = \sqrt{-1}$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we define $z^{1/2} = \sqrt{z}$ so that $-\pi/2 <\ \arg(z^{1/2}) \leqq \pi/2$. Further we put $z^{\kappa/2} = \big(z^{1/2}\big)^{\kappa}$ for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Let $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa))$ be an element of $\Gamma_{g,m}$ with $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g$ and $(\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{g,m}$ with $\Omega \in \mathbb{H}_g$ and $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,g)}$. If we put $(\Omega_*, Z_*) := \tilde{\gamma} \cdot (\Omega, Z)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_* &= \gamma \cdot \Omega = (A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}, \\ Z_* &= (Z + \lambda\Omega + \mu)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

First of all we shall show that if the formula (1.4) holds for $\tilde{\gamma}_1,\ \tilde{\gamma}_2 \in \Gamma_{g,m}$, then it hold for $\tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2$. To prove this fact, we consider the function $J : \Gamma_{g,m} \times \mathbb{H}_{g,m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ defined by

$$J\big(\tilde{\gamma}, (\Omega, Z)\big) := e^{\pi i\, \sigma\{\, (Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu)(C\Omega+D)^{-1}C\, {}^t(Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu) - \lambda\Omega\, {}^t\lambda - 2\lambda\, {}^tZ - \kappa - \mu\, {}^t\lambda\}},$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)) \in \Gamma_{g,m}$ with $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g,\ \ \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)},\ \ \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^{(m,m)}$ and $(\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{g,m}$. By a direct computation or a geometrical method (cf, [9, p. 1332]), we can show that J is an automorphic factor for $\Gamma_{g,m}$ on $\mathbb{H}_{g,m}$, that is, it satisfies the following relation

$$J\big(\tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2, (\Omega, Z)\big) = J\big(\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2 \cdot (\Omega, Z)\big)\, J\big(\tilde{\gamma}_2, (\Omega, Z)\big)$$

for any $\tilde{\gamma}_1,\ \tilde{\gamma}_2 \in \Gamma_{g,m}$ and $(\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{g,m}$. It is easy to see that the map $J_* : \Gamma_{g,m} \times \mathbb{H}_{g,m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ defined by

$$J_*\big(\tilde{\gamma}, (\Omega, Z)\big) := J\big(\tilde{\gamma}, (\Omega, Z)\big) \cdot \det(C\Omega + D)^{\frac{m}{2}}$$

is an automorphic factor for $\Gamma_{g,m}$ on $\mathbb{H}_{g,m}$, where $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)) \in \Gamma_{g,m}$ with $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g$ and $(\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{g,m}$. It is easily seen that $J_*\big(\tilde{\gamma}, (\Omega, Z)\big)$ can be written as

$$J_*\big(\tilde{\gamma}, (\Omega, Z)\big) = e^{-\pi i\, \sigma(\kappa + \mu\, {}^t\lambda)} \cdot e^{\pi i\, \sigma\{\, (Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu)(C\Omega+D)^{-1}C\, {}^t(Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu) - \lambda\Omega\, {}^t\lambda - 2\lambda\, {}^tZ\}}\ \det(C\Omega + D)^{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

We observe that $e^{-\pi i\, \sigma(\kappa + \mu\, {}^t\lambda)} = \pm 1$ because $\sigma(\kappa + \mu\, {}^t\lambda)$ is an integer. Thus we see that if the formula (1.4) holds for $\tilde{\gamma}_1,\ \tilde{\gamma}_2 \in \Gamma_{g,m}$, then it hold for $\tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2$.

We recall (cf. [1, p. 326], [3, p. 210]) that Γ_g is generated by the following elements

$$\begin{aligned} t_0(B) :\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I_g & B \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} \ \text{with any } B = {}^tB \in \mathbb{Z}^{(g,g)}, \\ g_0(\alpha) :\ &= \begin{pmatrix} {}^t\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \ \text{with any } \alpha \in GL(g, \mathbb{Z}), \\ -J_g :\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_g \\ I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously the following matrices

$$\begin{aligned} t_e(B):\ &=\ \begin{pmatrix} I_g & B \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} \text{ with any } B={}^tB\in\mathbb{Z}^{(g,g)} \textit{ even } \text{diagonals},\\ g_0(\alpha):\ &=\ \begin{pmatrix} {}^t\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with any } \alpha\in GL(g,\mathbb{Z}),\\ -J_g:\ &=\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_g \\ I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

generate the theta group $\Gamma_{\vartheta,g}$. Therefore the following elements $s(\lambda,\mu;\kappa),\ t(B),\ g(\alpha)$ and σ_g of $\Gamma_{\vartheta,g,m}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &s(\lambda,\mu;\kappa)=\big(I_{2g},(\lambda,\mu;\kappa)\big) \text{ with } \lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)} \text{ and } \kappa\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,m)},\\ &t(B)=\left(\begin{pmatrix} I_g & B \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix},(0,0;0)\right) \text{ with any } B={}^tB\in\mathbb{Z}^{(g,g)} \textit{ even } \text{diagonals},\\ &g(\alpha)=\left(\begin{pmatrix} {}^t\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix},(0,0;0)\right) \text{ with } \alpha\in GL(g,\mathbb{Z}),\\ &\sigma_g=\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_g \\ I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix},(0,0;0)\right) \end{aligned}$$

generate the group $\Gamma_{\vartheta,g,m}$.

Case I. $\tilde\gamma=s(\lambda,\mu;\kappa)$ with $\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}$ and $\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,m)}$.

In this case, we have

$$\Omega_*=\Omega \quad\text{and}\quad Z_*=Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta(\Omega,Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu)\\ =\ &\sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma\{\,A\Omega\,{}^tA+2\,A\,{}^t(Z+\lambda\Omega+\mu)\,\}}\\ =\ &e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(\lambda\Omega\,{}^t\lambda+2\,\lambda\,{}^tZ)}\sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma\left\{\,(A+\lambda)\,\Omega\,{}^t(A+\lambda)+2\,(A+\lambda)\,{}^tZ\,\right\}}\\ =\ &e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(\lambda\Omega\,{}^t\lambda+2\,\lambda\,{}^tZ)}\,\Theta(\Omega,Z). \end{aligned}$$

Here we may take $\zeta(\tilde\gamma)=1$. Therefore this proves the formula (1.4) in the case $\tilde\gamma=s(\lambda,\mu;\kappa)$.

Case II. $\tilde\gamma=t(B)$ with $B={}^tB\in\mathbb{Z}^{(g,g)}$ even diagonal.

In this case, we have

$$\Omega_*=\Omega+B \quad\text{and}\quad Z_*=Z.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta(\Omega+B,Z) \\ = & \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma\{A(\Omega+B)\,{}^tA+2A\,{}^tZ\}} \\ = & \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma(A\Omega\,{}^tA+2A\,{}^tZ\,)}\cdot e^{\pi i\,\sigma(AB\,{}^tA)} \\ = & \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma(A\Omega\,{}^tA+2A\,{}^tZ\,)} \qquad \text{(because } \sigma(AB\,{}^tA)\in 2\,\mathbb{Z}) \\ = & \Theta(\Omega,Z) \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that $\sigma(AB\,{}^tA)\in 2\,\mathbb{Z}$ because the diagonal entries of B is even integers. Now we may take $\zeta(\tilde{\gamma})=1$. Therefore this proves the formula (1.4) in the case $\tilde{\gamma}=t(B)$.

Case III. $\tilde{\gamma}=g(\alpha)=\left(\begin{pmatrix} {}^t\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1}\end{pmatrix},(0,0;0)\right)$ with $\alpha\in GL(g,\mathbb{Z})$.

In this case, we have

$$\Omega_*=\,{}^t\alpha\Omega\,\alpha \quad\text{and}\quad Z_*=Z\alpha.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta(\,{}^t\alpha\Omega\,\alpha,Z\alpha) \\ = & \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma\{A(\,{}^t\alpha\Omega\,\alpha)\,{}^tA\,+\,2\,A\,{}^t(Z\,\alpha)\}} \\ = & \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma\{\,(A\,{}^t\alpha)\,\Omega\,{}^t(A\,{}^t\alpha)\,+\,2\,(A\,{}^t\alpha)Z\,\}} \\ = & \Theta(\Omega,Z). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the formula (1.4) reduces to the formula

$$\Theta\big(\,{}^t\alpha\Omega\,\alpha,Z\alpha\big)=\zeta(\tilde{\gamma})\,\big(\det\alpha^{-1}\big)^{m/2}\,\Theta(\Omega,Z). \tag{2.1}$$

If we take $\zeta(\tilde{\gamma})=\big(\det\alpha\big)^{m/2}$, the formula (2.1) coincides with $\Theta(\Omega,Z)$. Since $\det\alpha=\pm1$, $\zeta(\tilde{\gamma})$ is a fourth root of 1. Therefore this proves the formula (1.4) in the case $\tilde{\gamma}=g(\alpha)$ with $\alpha\in GL(g,\mathbb{Z})$.

Case IV. $\tilde{\gamma}=\sigma_g=\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_g \\ I_g & 0\end{pmatrix},(0,0;0)\right)$.

In this case, we have

$$\Omega_*=-\Omega^{-1} \quad\text{and}\quad Z_*=Z\Omega^{-1}.$$

We can prove the formula (1.4) using the Poisson Summation Formula.

Lemma 2.1. For a fixed element $(\Omega,Z)\in\mathbb{H}_{g,m}$, we obtain the following

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma(x\Omega\,{}^tx+2x\,{}^tZ)}dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}=\left(\det\left(\frac{\Omega}{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)},$$

where $x=(x_{ij})\in\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}$.

Proof. By a simple computation, we see that

$$e^{\pi i\,\sigma(x\Omega\,{}^tx+2x\,{}^tZ)}=e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\cdot e^{\pi i\,\sigma\{(x+Z\Omega^{-1})\Omega\,{}^t(x+Z\Omega^{-1})\}}.$$

Since the real Jacobi group $Sp(g,\mathbb{R})\ltimes H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m,g)}$ acts on $\mathbb{H}_{g,m}$ holomorphically, we may put

$$\Omega=i\,A\,{}^tA,\quad Z=iV,\quad A\in\mathbb{R}^{(g,g)},\quad V=(v_{ij})\in\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}}e^{\pi i\,\sigma(x\Omega\,{}^tx+2x\,{}^tZ)}dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}}e^{\pi i\,\sigma[\{x+iV(iA\,{}^tA)^{-1}\}(iA\,{}^tA)\,{}^t\{x+iV(iA\,{}^tA)^{-1}\}]}\,dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}}e^{\pi i\,\sigma[\{x+V(A\,{}^tA)^{-1}\}A\,{}^tA\,{}^t\{x+V(A\,{}^tA)^{-1}\}]}\,dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}}e^{-\pi\,\sigma\{(uA)\,{}^t(uA)\}}\,du_{11}\cdots du_{mg}\quad\big(\text{Put }u=x+V(A\,{}^tA)^{-1}=(u_{ij})\,\big)\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}}e^{-\pi\,\sigma(w\,{}^tw)}(\det A)^{-m}\,dw_{11}\cdots dw_{mg}\quad\big(\text{Put }w=uA=(w_{ij})\,\big)\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\,(\det A)^{-m}\cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\prod_{j=1}^{g}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-\pi\,w_{ij}^2}\,dw_{ij}\right)\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\,(\det A)^{-m}\quad\Big(\text{because }\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-\pi\,w_{ij}^2}\,dw_{ij}=1\quad\text{for all }i,j\,\Big)\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\,\big(\det\big(A\,{}^tA\big)\big)^{-\frac{m}{2}}\\
=\;&e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^tZ)}\,\left(\det\left(\frac{\Omega}{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

For an element $(\Omega,Z)\in\mathbb{H}_{g,m}$, we define the function $f_{\Omega,Z}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}$ by

$$f_{\Omega,Z}(x):=e^{\pi i\,\sigma(x\Omega\,{}^tx+2x\,{}^tZ)},\quad x=(x_{ij})\in\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}.\tag{2.2}$$

By the Poisson summation formula, we obtain

$$\sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}}f_{\Omega,Z}(A)=\sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}}\widehat{f}_{\Omega,Z}(A),$$

where $\widehat{f}_{\Omega,Z}$ is the Fourier transform of $f_{\Omega,Z}$ given by

$$\widehat{f}_{\Omega,Z}(y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}}f_{\Omega,Z}(x)\,e^{2\pi i\,\sigma({}^txy)}\,dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\Omega,Z) &= \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} \widehat{f}_{\Omega,Z}(A)\\
&= \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}} f_{\Omega,Z}(x)\, e^{2\pi i\,\sigma({}^t x A)}\, dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}\\
&= \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma(x\Omega\,{}^t x+2x\,{}^t Z)}\, e^{2\pi i\,\sigma({}^t x A)}\, dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}\\
&= \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma\{x\Omega\,{}^t x+2x\,{}^t(Z+A)\}}\, dx_{11}\cdots dx_{mg}\\
&= \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} \left(\det\left(\frac{\Omega}{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\pi i\,\sigma\{(Z+A)\Omega^{-1}\,{}^t(Z+A)\}} \quad (\text{ by Lemma 2.1 })\\
&= \left(\det\left(\frac{\Omega}{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^t Z+A\Omega^{-1}\,{}^t A+2A\Omega^{-1}\,{}^t Z)}\\
&= \left(\det\left(\frac{\Omega}{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^t Z)} \sum_{A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(m,g)}} e^{\pi i\,\sigma\{(-A)(-\Omega^{-1})\,{}^t(-A)+2(-A)\,{}^t(Z\Omega^{-1})\}}\\
&= \left(\det\left(\frac{\Omega}{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^t Z)}\, \Theta(-\Omega^{-1}, Z\Omega^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the formula

$$\Theta\big(-\Omega^{-1}, Z\Omega^{-1}\big) = e^{\pi i\,\sigma(Z\Omega^{-1}\,{}^t Z)} \left(\det\left(\frac{\Omega}{i}\right)\right)^{m/2} \Theta(\Omega,Z). \tag{2.3}$$

The fact that $\zeta(\tilde{\gamma})^8 = 1$ follows from the formula (2.3). Indeed we may take $\zeta(\tilde{\gamma}) = \det\left(\frac{I_g}{i}\right)^{m/2}$. Therefore this proves the formula (1.4) in the case $\tilde{\gamma} = \sigma_g$. Finally we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2.1. Let m be an *odd* positive integer. According to the formula (1.4), we see that $\Theta(\Omega,0)$ is a modular form of half integral weight $\frac{m}{2}$ with respect to $\Gamma_{\vartheta,g}$ (cf. [3, p. 200], [6]). We may say that the theta series $\Theta(\Omega,Z)$ is a Jacobi form of half integral weight $\frac{m}{2}$ and index I_m with respect to $\Gamma_{\vartheta,g}$ (cf. [8], [9]). This means that $\Theta(\Omega,Z)$ may be regarded as an automorphic form on a two-fold covering of the Jacobi group (cf. [5]). Indeed the theta series $\Theta(\Omega,Z)$ is closely related to the Weil representation of the Jacobi group (cf. [7], [13]). The function $f_{\Omega,Z}$ is a covariant map for the Weil-Schrödinger representation (cf. [13]).

Remark 2.2. Olav K. Richter [4] obtained the transformation formula for theta functions that is more general than the formula (1.4). It is my pleasure to thank him for letting me know his paper [4]. But our proof is quite different from his. In fact, our formula (1.4) is a combination of the transformation laws (2) and (3) in [4].

References

[1] E. Freitag, *Siegelsche Modulfunktionen*, Grundlehren de mathematischen Wissenschaften **55**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1983).

[2] E. Hecke, *Herleitung des Euler-Produktes der Zetafunktion und einiger L-Reihnen aus ihrer Funktionalgleichung*, Math. Ann. **119** (1944), 266-287 (=Werke, 919-940).

[3] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta I,* Progress in Math. **28**, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart (1983).

[4] O. K. Richter, *On Transformation Laws for Theta Functions,* Rocky Mountain J. of Math., Vol. **34**, No. 4 (2004), 1473-1481.

[5] I. Satake, *Fock representations and theta functions*, Ann. Math. Study **66** (1969), 393–405.

[6] G. Shimura, *On modular forms of half integral weight* , Ann. of Math., **97** (1973), 440-481; Collected Papers, 1967-1977, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag (2002), 532-573.

[7] A. Weil, *Sur certains groupes d'operateurs unitares*, Acta Math., **111** (1964), 143–211; Collected Papers (1964-1978), Vol. III, Springer-Verlag (1979), 1-69.

[8] J.-H. Yang, *Singular Jacobi forms,* Trans. of American Math. Soc. **347**, No. 6 (1995), 2041-2049.

[9] J.-H. Yang, *Construction of vector valued modular forms from Jacobi forms,* Canadian J. of Math. **47** **(6)** (1995), 1329-1339.

[10] J.-H. Yang, *A note on a fundamental domain for Siegel-Jacobi space,* Houston Journal of Mathematics, Vol. **32**, No. 3 (2006), 701–712.

[11] J.-H. Yang, *Inavraint metrics and Laplacians on Siegel-Jacobi space,* Journal of Number Theory, Vol. **127** (2007), 83-102.

[12] J.-H. Yang, *A partial Cayley transform of Siegel-Jacobi disk,* J. Korean Math. Soc. **45**, No. 3 (2008), 781-794.

[13] J.-H. Yang, *Theta series associated with the Weil-Schrödinger representation,* arXiv:0709.007v1 [math.NT] (2007).

Department of Mathematics, Inha University, Incheon 402-751, Korea
E-mail address: `jhyang@inha.ac.kr`